

Poster Presentation  
Endoscopy and imaging I  
Monday, November 23, 2009

**Abstract: P0600**

Citation: Endoscopy 2009; 41 (Suppl 1) A224

## **CARBON DIOXIDE INSUFFLATION IN COLONOSCOPY IS SAFE: EXPERIENCE OF 348 PATIENTS**

**M. Geyer**<sup>1</sup>, U. Guller<sup>2</sup>, C. Beglinger<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Gastroenterologie, Gastroenterologie Wettingen, Wettingen, Switzerland*

<sup>2</sup> *Dept of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada*

<sup>3</sup> *Gastroenterology, University hospital of Basel, Basel, Switzerland*

**INTRODUCTION:** Recent studies suggest that insufflation of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) can reduce peri-procedural pain during endoscopic procedures; however, air insufflation has remained the standard procedure in most endoscopy units. Preliminary studies using CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation during colonoscopies have limitations as they included only small number of patients, especially if patients were investigated during conscious sedation. Also, the safety data base during CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation with continuous CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring is small. In an initial randomized double blind study including 219 patients undergoing ambulatory colonoscopy, the advantages (less pain and bloating) and safety of CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation could be demonstrated. The aim of the present study is to update our database with respect to safety.

**AIMS & METHODS:** A total of 348 patients undergoing ambulatory colonoscopy were included in the study. All procedures were done with propofol sedation and CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation. Baseline demographics were recorded, propofol dosage was assessed and complications during the procedure were recorded. The focus of the analysis was the safety of the procedure. In particular, we also analyzed a correlation between the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation and the transcutaneous CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Statistics were done with SPSS Version 11.0.

**RESULTS:** RESULTS (mean±SD): A total number of 352 patients were included. 4 patients were excluded from the analysis due to problems with the transcutaneous CO<sub>2</sub> measurement. 109 patients were from our initial double-blind study and 239 patients from the follow-up open study. The mean age was 61±12 yrs in second group versus 58±13 yrs in patients from the first study (NS). Propofol dosages were 137±68 mg versus 134±56 mg (NS). CO<sub>2</sub> baseline values were 35±5 mmHg (second study) versus 33±5 mmHg (first study). The CO<sub>2</sub> values at the time when the terminal ileum was reached averaged 40±5 mm Hg versus 37±5 mm Hg and 38±5 mm Hg versus 35±4 mmHg at the end of the examination. Maximal CO<sub>2</sub> increases were 4.6±4.0 mmHg versus 4.2±4.0 mmHg, but all CO<sub>2</sub> values remained within normal limits. All these parameters were not significantly different between the two groups. No correlation between the inflated liters of CO<sub>2</sub> and the increase of CO<sub>2</sub> (p-Pearson 0.72, p-Spearson 0.84) was documented. Neither respiratory side effects nor any complication were recorded.

**CONCLUSION:** The present study confirms and extends previous observations that CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation during colonoscopy is extremely safe and easy to use for the endoscopist. There is no correlation between insufflated CO<sub>2</sub> and the increase of CO<sub>2</sub>. We infer from these data that CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation should become the standard for colonoscopy.